

### REPUBLIC OF NAMIBIA

### MINISTRY OF FINANCE

### PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REVIEW PANEL

Tel.: (00 264 61) 209 2319

Fax: (00 264 61) 236454

Head Office,

Moltke Street,

Private Bag 13295,

Windhoek

Enquiries: K. S. Shigwedha

# IN THE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REVIEW HELD ON 01 APRIL 2021 IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

ERONGO QUARRY AND CIVIL WORKS

**APPLICANT** 

AND

ROADS AUTHORITY

RESPONDENT

IN A REVIEW APPLICATION MADE IN TERMS OF SECTION 59 OF THE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT ACT, ACT 15 OF 2015

BID NO: W/ONB/RA-14/2019: SALT MAINTENANCE IN THE OTJIWARONGO

Present:

Michael Gaweseb (Chairperson) with Tulimeyo Kaapanda, Selma-Penna Utonih, Mekondjo Nghipandulwa and Kenandei Tjivikua concurring.

Heard Decided:

01 April 2021 01 April 2021

### REVIEW PANEL ORDER

Having heard Mr. Gilroy Kasper on behalf of the Applicant, Mr. Wilfried Brock Respondent (Roads Authority) and other interested parties who attended the hearing. Having read the Application for Review and other documents filed as part of the record, the Review Panel

- 1. The Applicant stated that they were disqualified because they did not provide number of work experience as prime contractor at least one road project over a period of 2 years in accordance with Instruction to Bidders 6.3 (b).
- 2. In addition, the Applicant stated that they were not subjected to financial evaluation as they were disqualified for not being responsive on technical experience as well as the alleged failure to submit prove of ownership of equipment in accordance with ITB 6.3
- 3. The Respondent submitted that it did not violate Section 55 of the Public Procurement Act, Act 15 of 2015 (hereinafter referred to as the "Public Procurement Act") as there was criteria given under ITB 33.4 on how financial responsiveness of the bidder was going to be determined.

ITB 33.4 stipulates that "bidders whose tender amounts vary by more than 15% up and 10% down from the respective standard "benchmark" tender amount calculated for this contract shall be deemed to be non-compliant and shall be

#### Finding of the Review Panel:

- 4. That the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent violated Regulation 7 (2) of the Procurement Act when its Bid Evaluation Committee failed to commence with the bid examination and evaluation process within 5 days after the opening of bids. The Respondent admitted this fact and advanced the reason being delays and predicaments brought about by COVID-19 pandemic, including related lockdowns. Section 4.2 of the Act makes provision for a Public Entity to seek exemption rights from complying with certain provisions of the Act however, the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent has failed to seek an exemption.
- 5. That 1st Respondent failed to comply with Section 52 (9) of the Act when they failed to evaluate every bid according to the criteria and methodology set out in the bidding documents. The Public Entity eliminated bidders for not having shown proof of the bidders in the bidding document.

## In the result the Review Panel make the following order:

1. In the premise and in accordance with Section 60(f) the Review Panel order that the procurement proceedings be terminated and start afresh.

Public Procurement Review Panel

MICHAEL GAWESTB, Chairperson CHAIRPERSON: REWEAV PANEL

PANEL (MY THIS MATTER)